

Evaluation of the Modified Asthma Predictive Index in High-Risk Preschool Children

Timothy S. Chang, PhD^a, Robert F. Lemanske Jr., MD^{b,c}, Theresa W. Guilbert, MD, MS^b, James E. Gern, MD^{b,c}, Michael H. Coen, PhD^a, Michael D. Evans, MS^a, Ronald E. Gangnon, PhD^a, C. David Page, PhD^a, and Daniel J. Jackson, MD^b *Madison, Wis*

What is already known about this topic? Prediction of subsequent school-age asthma during the preschool years has proven challenging. Predictive indices have been used as selection criteria for clinical studies without evaluation.

What does this article add to our knowledge? We prospectively evaluated the modified Asthma Predictive Index and a potential modification in a high-risk population.

How does this study impact current management guidelines? The high predictive ability of future school-age asthma after a positive modified Asthma Predictive Index in the preschool years demonstrates its usefulness as a clinical tool.

BACKGROUND: Prediction of subsequent school-age asthma during the preschool years has proven challenging.

OBJECTIVE: To confirm in a *post hoc* analysis the predictive ability of the modified Asthma Predictive Index (mAPI) in a high-risk cohort and a theoretical unselected population. We also tested a potential mAPI modification with a 2-wheezing episode requirement (m²API) in the same populations.

METHODS: Subjects (n = 289) with a family history of allergy and/or asthma were used to predict asthma at age 6, 8, and 11 years with the use of characteristics collected during the first 3 years of life. The mAPI and the m²API were tested for predictive value.

RESULTS: For the mAPI and m²API, school-age asthma prediction improved from 1 to 3 years of age. The mAPI had high predictive value after a positive test (positive likelihood ratio ranging from 4.9 to 55) for asthma development at years 6, 8, and 11. Lowering the number of wheezing episodes to 2

(m²API) lowered the predictive value after a positive test (positive likelihood ratio ranging from 1.91 to 13.1) without meaningfully improving the predictive value of a negative test. Posttest probabilities for a positive mAPI reached 72% and 90% in unselected and high-risk populations, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: In a high-risk cohort, a positive mAPI greatly increased future asthma probability (eg, 30% pretest probability to 90% posttest probability) and is a preferred predictive test to the m²API. With its more favorable positive posttest probability, the mAPI can aid clinical decision making in assessing future asthma risk for preschool-age children. © 2013 American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (J Allergy Clin Immunol: In Practice 2013;1:152-6)

Key words: Asthma; Wheezing; Children; Asthma predictive index; Modified asthma predictive index

^aDepartment of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis

^bDepartment of Pediatrics, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis

^cDepartment of Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis

This study was supported by the Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program, previously through National Center for Research Resources (NCRR) grant 1UL1RR025011 and now through National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) grant 9U54TR000021; by National Heart Lung and Blood Institute Fellowship F30 HL112491; and by National Institutes of Health grants R01 HL61879, P01 HL70831, T32 AI007635, and M01 RR03186.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflicts of interest: T. S. Chang has received grants from the National Institutes of Health/National Center for Research Resources, the National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and the National Institutes of Health Medical Scientist Training Program. R. F. Lemanske has received travel support and fees for participation in review activities from the National Institutes of Health; has received consultancy fees from Merck, Sepracor, SA Boney and Associates LTD, Glaxo-SmithKline, American Institute of Research, Genentech, Double Helix Development, and Boehringer Ingelheim; is employed by the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health; has received research support from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and Pharmaxis; has received lecture fees from the Michigan Public Health Institute, Allegheny General Hospital, American Academy of Pediatrics, West Allegheny Health Systems, California Chapter 4 AAP, Colorado Allergy Society, Pennsylvania Allergy and Asthma Association, Harvard Pilgrim Health, California Society of Allergy, NYC Allergy Society, World Allergy Organization, and American College of Chest Physicians; has received payment for manuscript preparation from the AAAAI; and receives royalties from Elsevier and UpToDate. T. W. Guilbert is on the

American Board of Pediatrics, Pediatric Pulmonary Subboard; has received consultancy fees from MedImmune, Teva, MAP Pharmaceuticals, and GlaxoSmithKline; has received research support from the CDC, DHHS, Altus Pharmaceuticals, Inspire Pharmaceuticals, National Institutes of Health, and University of Wisconsin Medical and Education Research Committee; has received lecture fees from Merck-Schering-Plough; receives royalties from UpToDate; and has received payment for developing educational presentations from Teva. J. E. Gern is a board member for 3V BioSciences; has consultant arrangements with GlaxoSmithKline, Biota, Centocor, Boehringer Ingelheim, MedImmune, Theraclone, Pulmatrix, and Merck; and has received grants from Merck Inc, AstraZeneca, and GlaxoSmithKline. M. H. Coen declares no relevant conflicts of interest. M. D. Evans has received research support from the National Institutes of Health. R. E. Gangnon has received grants from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. C. D. Page has received grants from the National Institutes of Health. D. J. Jackson has received research support from the National Institutes of Health; and has received consultancy fees from Gilead.

Received for publication July 25, 2012; revised October 11, 2012; accepted for publication October 12, 2012.

Available online January 2, 2013.

Cite this article as: Chang TS, Lemanske RF, Guilbert TW, Gern JE, Coen MH, Evans MD, et al. Evaluation of the modified asthma predictive index in high-risk preschool children. J Allergy Clin Immunol: In Practice 2013;1:152-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2012.10.008>.

Corresponding author: Daniel J. Jackson, MD, Department of Pediatrics, Section of Allergy, Immunology and Rheumatology, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, K4/936 Clinical Sciences Center, 600 Highland Ave, Madison, WI 53792. E-mail: djj@medicine.wisc.edu.

2213-2198/\$36.00

© 2013 American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2012.10.008>

Abbreviations used

API- Asthma predictive index
COAST- Childhood Origins of ASThma
LR- Likelihood ratio
mAPI- Modified Asthma Predictive Index
m²API- Modified Asthma Predictive Index with ≥ 2 wheezing episodes as the primary threshold

Asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases of childhood. Up to 50% of children wheeze at least once during the preschool years.¹ Although many of these children go on to develop asthma, our ability to predict school-age asthma based on early life characteristics is currently limited. Early identification of persons at risk of disease can be used to identify children that require closer monitoring and may be ideal candidates for prevention strategies or interventions. Therefore, accurate prediction of asthma development is highly desirable for clinicians, families, and researchers.

One of the first rule-based predictive models for early identification of children at high risk of subsequent asthma was the Asthma Predictive Index (API),² developed in the Tucson Children's Respiratory Study, which evaluated an unselected general cohort of 1246 infants. Both a "stringent" (Table I) and "loose" index were used to predict asthma at ages 6, 8, 11, and 13 years, based on questionnaire data from ages 2 and 3 years. The positive likelihood ratio (LR⁺) and negative likelihood ratio (LR⁻) for asthma diagnosis at age 6 with the use of the stringent index were 7.4 and 0.75, respectively.

Because children with a positive API are at increased risk of developing asthma, a modified Asthma Predictive Index (mAPI) (Table I), which used more objective criteria than the API, was used as entry criteria for the Preventing Early Asthma in Kids clinical trial.³ The study showed decreased exacerbations, decreased controller medication usage, and increased episode-free days in 2- to 3-year-old children with a positive mAPI treated with inhaled corticosteroids compared with placebo.⁴

Guidelines from national and international organizations have discussed school-age asthma prediction. The European Respiratory Society stated asthma predictive models have only been used in retrospective epidemiologic studies and have limited clinical value.⁵ The Global Initiative for Asthma suggested atopy or allergic sensitization could provide predictive support that a wheezing child may have asthma in the future.⁶ The Global Initiative for Asthma stated that application of the API in other countries and clinical situations was needed before recommending widespread use, although application in a lower- to middle-income country was recently performed.⁷ Based in large part on the results of the Preventing Early Asthma in Kids trial, the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program's Guidelines for Diagnosis and Management of Asthma from 2007 recommended initiating long-term control therapy in children from birth to 4 years old who are positive for the mAPI to reduce impairment and exacerbation risk.⁸

Because the mAPI has never been critically assessed, we prospectively evaluated the mAPI and a potential modification of it in the high-risk Childhood Origins of ASThma (COAST) cohort, a patient population most likely to seek preschool allergy- and asthma-related care.

METHODS

Study cohort

A total of 289 newborns were enrolled from November 1998 through May 2000 in the COAST study as previously described.⁹ To qualify, at least 1 parent was required to have respiratory allergies (defined as 1 or more positive aeroallergen skin tests) and/or a history of physician-diagnosed asthma. The University of Wisconsin Human Subjects Committee approved this study, and informed consent was obtained from the parents. Assent was obtained from the children at age 8 years.

Current asthma was clinically diagnosed at 6, 8, and 11 years of age, based on at least 1 of the following in the previous year as previously described^{10,11}: (1) physician diagnosis of asthma; (2) use of albuterol for coughing or wheezing episodes (prescribed by physician); (3) use of a daily controller medication; (4) step-up plan, including use of albuterol or short-term use of inhaled corticosteroids during illness; and (5) use of prednisone for asthma exacerbation. Sufficient data were available to make a diagnosis of current asthma for 259 (73 asthma, 186 no asthma), 238 (78 asthma, 160 no asthma), and 217 (66 asthma, 151 no asthma) children at age 6, 8, and 11 years, respectively. We used data during year 1 (birth to 11 months), year 2 (12-23 months), or year 3 (24-35 months) to predict asthma at age 6, 8, and 11 years.

Atopic dermatitis was defined as physician diagnosed, either documented by a health care provider in the medical record or by parental report of physician-diagnosed atopic dermatitis on historical questionnaires, as previously described.¹⁰

Allergic sensitization was determined as previously described.¹² Briefly, blood was collected at ages 1, 2, and 3 years, and total IgE and specific IgE to dog, cat, *Alternaria alternata*, *Dermatophagoides farinae*, *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*, peanut, milk, and egg were measured by using automated fluoroenzyme immunoassays (Unicap 100; Pharmacia and Upjohn Diagnostics, Kalamazoo, Mich). Allergen-specific IgE ≥ 0.35 kU/L was considered positive, and sensitivity for detection of total IgE was 2 kU/L.

Predictive models

The prediction models, mAPI and a potential modification of the mAPI, were evaluated in the high-risk COAST birth cohort. This evaluation was performed as a *post hoc* analysis and not prespecified in the original COAST protocol. To review, the mAPI is positive when a patient has ≥ 4 wheezing episodes in a year, which we term the *primary threshold*. The patient also must fulfill what we term as the *secondary threshold*, which is met by meeting at least 1 major criterion or at least 2 minor criteria. The major criteria include parental history of asthma, physician-diagnosed atopic dermatitis, and allergic sensitization to at least 1 aeroallergen. The minor criteria include wheezing unrelated to colds, peripheral blood eosinophils $\geq 4\%$, and allergic sensitization to milk, egg, or peanuts. Table I summarizes the mAPI and how it compares with the stringent API.³ We did not compare the mAPI with the loose API, given the latter has a lower specificity. The modified mAPI (m²API) used ≥ 2 wheezing episodes as the primary threshold.

Performance measures

The LR of a test result is the probability of that test result in a patient with disease divided by the probability of that test result in a patient without disease.¹³ Positive LRs range from 1 to ∞

TABLE I. Criteria for stringent API and mAPI

Stringent API			
Primary	Early frequent wheezer (≥ 3 on 1-5 rating scale)		
AND			
Secondary	At least 1 major:	OR	At least 2 minor:
	Parental physician-diagnosed asthma		Wheezing unrelated to colds
	Physician-diagnosed atopic dermatitis		Eosinophils $\geq 4\%$ in circulation
			Physician-diagnosed allergic rhinitis
mAPI			
Primary	≥ 4 wheezing episodes in a year		
AND			
Secondary	At least 1 major:	OR	At least 2 minor:
	Parental physician-diagnosed asthma		Wheezing unrelated to colds
	Physician-diagnosed atopic dermatitis		Eosinophils $\geq 4\%$ in circulation
	Allergic sensitization to at least one aeroallergen		Allergic sensitization to milk, egg, or peanuts

(higher is better) and negative LRs range from 0 to 1 (lower is better). Negative LRs below 0.5 are more clinically meaningful, whereas those above 0.5 are poorly predictive. LR confidence intervals were calculated with the delta method.¹⁴

The posttest probability of disease can be easier than LRs to interpret. The probability of developing asthma after a diagnostic test is performed (posttest probability) depends on the LR and the patient's probability of developing asthma before the test is performed (pretest probability). To compare the mAPI and API, which were evaluated in cohorts with different asthma prevalences, we calculated posttest probability of asthma development considering a low pretest probability (11%), which would be reasonable in an unselected population, and a high pretest probability (30%), which would be reasonable in patients with asthma risk factors (eg, family history of asthma and/or allergies). The value of 11% was selected because this was the prevalence in the unselected Tucson Children's Respiratory Study cohort.² The value of 30% was selected for all asthma diagnosis years because the prevalence in our high-risk COAST cohort was 28%, 33%, and 30% for asthma diagnosis at age 6, 8, and 11 years. When the pretest probability is the same as the disease prevalence, positive predictive value is the same as the positive posttest probability and negative predictive value is 1 minus the negative posttest probability.

RESULTS

Table II shows the percentage of subjects with mAPI and m²API criteria. Few subjects met the primary threshold of ≥ 4 wheezing episodes, whereas slightly more had ≥ 2 wheezing episodes. A majority of subjects (64%) had parental asthma and approximately two-thirds of those who met the primary threshold fulfilled the secondary threshold with this criterion.

Table III shows the sensitivity, specificity, LR⁺, LR⁻, and posttest probabilities of the mAPI in unselected and high-risk populations for asthma diagnosis at year 6, 8, and 11 from years 1, 2, and 3. The maximum LR⁺ for asthma diagnosis at any year from years 1, 2, and 3 was 6.1, 14, and 55, respectively, whereas the minimum LR⁻ was 0.90, 0.89, and 0.82, respectively. With the use of the prediction of year 6 asthma diagnosis in a population with a pretest probability of 11% as an example, the posttest probabilities for a positive mAPI and negative mAPI at

TABLE II. Percentage of subjects in year 1, 2, and 3 with mAPI and m²API criteria

Criteria	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of wheezing episodes ≥ 4	5.0	4.6	5.0
No. of wheezing episodes ≥ 2	13	15	10
Major criteria			
Parental asthma	64	64	64
Atopic dermatitis	25	30	24
Positive aeroallergen IgE	13	19	25
Minor criteria			
Wheeze unrelated to colds	14	8.1	4.2
Peripheral eosinophils $\geq 4\%$	19	20	18
Positive food allergen IgE	25	27	29

age 3 years were 72% and 9%, respectively (Table III). In a high-risk population (pretest probability of 30%), the posttest probabilities for a positive mAPI and negative mAPI at age 3 years were 90% and 26%, respectively (Table III). The positive posttest probabilities in year 8 and 11 asthma diagnosis were 96% and 89%, respectively.

As the threshold for the number of wheezing illnesses decreased to 2 (m²API), negative test results were still poorly predictive, whereas positive test results were less predictive. The maximum LR⁺ for asthma diagnosis at any year from years 1, 2, and 3 was 3.5, 6.5, and 16, respectively, whereas the minimum LR⁻ was 0.85, 0.71, and 0.70, respectively. With the use of the prediction of year 6 asthma diagnosis in a population with a pretest probability of 11% as an example, the posttest probabilities for a positive m²API and negative m²API at age 3 years were 67% and 8%, respectively (Table IV). In a high-risk population (pretest probability of 30%), the posttest probabilities for a positive m²API and negative m²API at age 3 years were 87% and 23%, respectively (Table IV).

For year 6 asthma diagnosis, the mAPI had marginally improved LR⁺ compared with the m²API (eg, LR⁺ from year 3 was 21 versus 16, respectively). For year 8 and year 11 asthma diagnosis, which are important time points for prediction of more persistent asthma, the mAPI had substantially improved LR⁺ than the m²API from all preschool years. The LR⁺ from year 3 at year

TABLE III. mAPI sensitivity, specificity, positive LR, negative LR, and posttest probabilities of asthma in unselected and high-risk populations at year 6, 8, and 11 from years 1, 2, and 3

Year	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	LR ⁺ (95% CI)	LR ⁻ (95% CI)	Unselected (11% pretest)		High risk (30% pretest)	
					Positive posttest (%)	Negative posttest (%)	Positive posttest (%)	Negative posttest (%)
Age 6 asthma diagnosis								
1	11% (4.2-19)	98% (96-100)	6.1 (1.8-21)	0.90 (0.83-0.98)	43	10	73	28
2	12% (4.2-19)	99% (98-100)	14 (2.6-80)	0.89 (0.82-0.97)	64	10	86	28
3	17% (8.4-25)	99% (98-100)	21 (4.0-112)	0.84 (0.75-0.93)	72	9	90	2%
Age 8 asthma diagnosis								
1	8.2% (2.2-14)	98% (97-100)	5.3 (1.3-22)	0.93 (0.87-1.0)	40	10	69	29
2	11% (3.9-18)	99% (98-100)	12 (2.1-64)	0.90 (0.83-0.97)	59	10	83	28
3	19% (8.8-25)	100% (99-100)	55 (3.3-913)	0.83 (0.75-0.92)	87	9	96	26
Age 11 asthma diagnosis								
1	11% (3.6-18)	98% (95-100)	4.9 (1.4-17)	0.91 (0.83-1.0)	38	10	68	28
2	11% (3.6-19)	98% (96-100)	6.8 (1.7-28)	0.90 (0.83-0.98)	46	10	75	28
3	19% (9.3-28)	99% (97-100)	19 (3.6-100)	0.82 (0.73-0.92)	70	9	89	26

High Risk (30% pretest), 30% high-risk COAST population pretest probability of asthma; Unselected (11% pretest), 11% theoretical unselected population pretest probability of asthma.

TABLE IV. m²API sensitivity, specificity, positive LR, negative LR, and posttest probabilities for asthma in unselected and high-risk populations at year 6, 8, and 11 from years 1, 2, and 3

Year	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	LR ⁺ (95% CI)	LR ⁻ (95% CI)	Unselected (11% pretest)		High risk (30% pretest)	
					Positive posttest (%)	Negative posttest (%)	Positive posttest (%)	Negative Posttest (%)
Age 6 asthma diagnosis								
1	21% (11-30)	93% (90-97)	3.1 (1.6-6.3)	0.85 (0.75-0.96)	28	10	57	27
2	33% (22-44)	95% (92-98)	6.5 (3.2-13)	0.71 (0.60-0.83)	45	8	74	23
3	30% (20-41)	98% (96-100)	16 (5.4-48)	0.71 (0.61-0.83)	67	8	87	23
Age 8 asthma diagnosis								
1	18% (9.8-27)	95% (91-98)	3.5 (1.6-7.8)	0.86 (0.77-0.96)	30	10	60	27
2	31% (21-41)	95% (91-98)	5.9 (2.8-12)	0.73 (0.62-0.85)	42	8	72	24
3	28% (19-38)	98% (95-100)	13 (4.4-39)	0.73 (0.63-0.84)	62	8	85	24
Age 11 asthma diagnosis								
1	16% (7.0-24)	92% (87-96)	1.9 (0.88-4.1)	0.92 (0.82-1.0)	19	10	45	28
2	29% (18-40)	92% (87-96)	3.5 (1.8-6.8)	0.77 (0.66-0.91)	30	9	60	25
3	32% (21-43)	97% (94-100)	10 (4.1-29)	0.70 (0.59-0.83)	57	8	82	23

Unselected (11% pretest), 11% theoretical unselected population pretest probability of asthma; High Risk (30% pretest), 30% high-risk COAST population pretest probability of asthma.

8 and year 11 asthma diagnosis were 55 and 19, respectively, for the mAPI versus 13 and 10, respectively, for the m²API.

DISCUSSION

We prospectively confirmed the diagnostic utility of the mAPI at 1, 2, and 3 years of age for asthma diagnosis at years 6, 8, and 11 in a well-characterized high-risk birth cohort of children and a theoretical unselected population. With the use of the mAPI, a positive test greatly increased the probability of future asthma risk (eg, 30% pretest future asthma probability to 90% posttest future asthma probability), whereas a negative test provided a small reduction in future asthma probability (eg, 30% pretest future asthma probability to 26% posttest future asthma probability). The m²API in which 2 instead of 4 wheezing episodes were required for a positive test provided poorer positive posttest probability without meaningfully improving the negative posttest probability.

As one's clinical impression would suspect, predictive ability increased if the prediction year and diagnosis year were closer. Histologic airway changes have been reported to begin sometime between 2 and 3 years of age,¹⁵ and the positive mAPI posttest probability in an unselected population increased dramatically from 43% to 64% to 72% from years 1, 2, and 3 for age 6 asthma diagnosis.

Reported benefits of the API include ease of application in a clinical setting and the ability to rule out asthma.¹⁶ Compared with the API, the mAPI was superior for future asthma prediction after a positive test. The LR⁺ of the API was 7.4, 4.9, and 3.8 for year 6, 8, and 11 asthma diagnosis, respectively.² This is compared with the LR⁺ of the mAPI of 21, 55, and 19 for year 6, 8, and 11 asthma diagnosis, respectively. The positive posttest probability in a high-risk patient increases to >89% for all asthma diagnosis years. The mAPI had superior predictive ability after a positive test than the m²API, particularly for year 8 and year 11

asthma diagnosis. The m^2 API had a LR^+ of 16, 13, and 10 for years 6, 8, and 11 asthma diagnosis. Although the mAPI is preferred, one potential benefit of the m^2 API is that a clinician must only wait for a patient to have 2 as opposed to 4 wheezing episodes in a given year before taking action. This action may involve doing nothing, closer monitoring, or altering therapy. Given their high LR^- , the API, mAPI, and m^2 API do not have a clinically meaningful predictive ability after a negative test.

The mAPI overcomes some diagnostic drawbacks of the original API as well. The primary threshold in the API is “early frequent wheezing.” Wheezing frequency was determined by questionnaire (scaled 1 to 5, from “very rarely” to “on most days”), and a score of ≥ 3 was considered early frequent wheezing. In contrast, the mAPI asks the parent to recall the specific number of wheezing episodes. In addition, the API uses physician-diagnosed allergic rhinitis, which is often difficult to diagnosis and distinguish from infectious rhinitis in preschool-age children. Instead, the mAPI uses a quantifiable *in vitro* IgE determination or skin prick test to establish allergic sensitization to aeroallergens and foods. One or both of these tests are readily available to many clinicians. The wheezing frequency questionnaire used in the Tucson Children’s Respiratory Study and allergic rhinitis in preschool children were not assessed in COAST, precluding direct evaluation of the API.

Additional asthma predictive models were developed from the Environment and Childhood Asthma study,¹⁷ the Isle of Wight study,¹⁸ and the Prevention and Incidence of Asthma and Mite Allergy study.¹⁹ Devulapalli et al¹⁷ analyzed a nested case–control study within the Environment and Childhood Asthma study that used 2-year-old children with ≥ 2 episodes of bronchial obstruction and those without bronchial obstruction to define a score that predicted asthma at age 10. An Oslo severity score (0–12) was calculated with the number or persistence of bronchial obstructive episodes and the number of hospital admissions for bronchial obstruction from 0 to 2 years of age. A severity score cutoff of 7 had a LR^+ of 3.9 and LR^- of 0.78. Compared with the Oslo severity score, the mAPI had a better LR^+ , but worse LR^- , whereas the m^2 API had a better LR^+ and LR^- . Both the Isle of Wight and Prevention and Incidence of Asthma and Mite Allergy studies predicted asthma from age 4, whereas we focused on predicting asthma in younger children, making direct comparisons more difficult.

The strengths of this study include the use of a well-characterized birth cohort and a predefined asthma definition. A limitation is the smaller sample size compared with larger epidemiologic cohort studies. The larger confidence intervals for LRs result from the combined variance of sensitivity and specificity. However, a tradeoff between sample size and a comprehensively characterized birth cohort was necessary. Assessment of the mAPI in a high-risk birth cohort is an additional limitation. These study results are most applicable to children with a family history of asthma or allergy, but they may generalize less well to children without a family history of asthma or allergy. However, we believe the high-risk population could be considered the most relevant to clinicians because parents with a history of allergic disease and/or asthma will more likely be interested in the probability that their child will develop asthma. In addition, we have presented results that use a theoretical unselected population with an asthma prevalence that is based on previously published data to better compare with the API. This conversion assumes asthma and nonasthma populations are similar in COAST and the unselected population.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that a positive mAPI substantially increases probability of future asthma, whereas a negative mAPI does not provide a clinically meaningful decrease in future asthma probability. Although asthma prediction during early life remains challenging, the mAPI’s high predictive ability of school-age asthma after a positive test can have clinical value for identifying children at risk of asthma persisting into school age and beyond. Ultimately, the findings in this study may help clinicians and scientists better identify at-risk children, allowing for earlier diagnosis and targeted prevention strategies.

Acknowledgments

We thank David Mauger, PhD, for his careful review of our manuscript and all COAST children and families for their participation in the study.

REFERENCES

- Martinez F, Wright A, Taussig L, Holberg C, Halonen M, Morgan W. Asthma and wheezing in the first six years of life. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:133-8.
- Castro-Rodriguez JA, Holberg CJ, Wright AL, Martinez FD. A clinical index to define risk of asthma in young children with recurrent wheezing. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:1403-6.
- Guilbert TW, Morgan WJ, Krawiec M, Lemanske RF. The Prevention of Early Asthma in Kids study: design, rationale and methods for the Childhood Asthma Research and Education network. *Control Clin Trials* 2004;25:286-310.
- Guilbert TW, Morgan WJ, Zeiger RS, Mauger DT, Boehmer SJ, Szeffler SJ, et al. Long-term inhaled corticosteroids in preschool children at high risk for asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:1985-97.
- Brand PLP, Baraldi E, Bisgaard H, Boner AL, Castro-Rodriguez JA, Custovic A, et al. Definition, assessment and treatment of wheezing disorders in preschool children: an evidence-based approach. *Eur Respir J* 2008;32:1096-110.
- Pedersen SE, Hurd SS, Lemanske RF Jr, Becker A, Zar HJ, Sly PD, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis and management of asthma in children 5 years and younger. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2011;46:1-17.
- Rodriguez-Martinez CE, Sossa-Briceño MP, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Discriminative properties of two predictive indices for asthma diagnosis in a sample of preschoolers with recurrent wheezing. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2011;46:1175-81.
- National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert panel report 3: guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 2007.
- Gern JE, Martin MS, Anklam KA, Shen K, Roberg KA, Carlson-Dakes KT, et al. Relationships among specific viral pathogens, virus-induced interleukin-8, and respiratory symptoms in infancy. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2002;13:386-93.
- Jackson DJ, Gangnon RE, Evans MD, Roberg KA, Anderson EL, Pappas TE, et al. Wheezing rhinovirus illnesses in early life predict asthma development in high-risk children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;178:667-72.
- Jackson DJ, Virnig CM, Gangnon RE, Evans MD, Roberg KA, Anderson EL, et al. Fractional exhaled nitric oxide measurements are most closely associated with allergic sensitization in school-age children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;124:949-53.
- Neaville WA, Tisler C, Bhattacharya A, Anklam K, Gilbertson-White S, Hamilton R, et al. Developmental cytokine response profiles and the clinical and immunologic expression of atopy during the first year of life. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003;112:740-6.
- McGee S. Simplifying likelihood ratios. *J Gen Intern Med* 2002;17:647-50.
- Hurt J. Asymptotic expansions of functions of statistics. *Aplikace Matematiky* 1976;21:444-56.
- Saglani S, Payne DN, Zhu J, Wang Z, Nicholson AG, Bush A, et al. Early detection of airway wall remodelling and eosinophilic inflammation in preschool wheezers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;176:858-64.
- Castro-Rodriguez J. The Asthma Predictive Index: a very useful tool for predicting asthma in young children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;126:212-6.
- Devulapalli CS, Carlsen KCL, Haaland G, Munthe-Kaas MC, Pettersen M, Mowinkel P, et al. Severity of obstructive airways disease by age 2 years predicts asthma at 10 years of age. *Thorax* 2008;63:8-13.
- Kurukulaaratchy R, Matthews S, Holgate S, Arshad S. Predicting persistent disease among children who wheeze during early life. *Eur Respir J* 2003;22:767-71.
- Caudri D, Wijga A. Predicting the long-term prognosis of children with symptoms suggestive of asthma at preschool age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;124:903-10.